Introduction to implant design and features

IMPLANTOLOGY YEAR COURSE Module 1

Friday 5th May 2017

RICHARD MILLHOUSE BDS MFGDP(UK) MSc Cambridge Academy of Dental Implantology

Aims and objectives

- to highlight the variety of dental implants available
- to review the history of the development of dental implants
- to explain the main design features/terminology of implant systems
- to review historic and contemporary implant surface characteristics and coatings
- to review the influence of the surface topography on the success of osseointegration
- to assess the evidence-base in implant design



- over 1300 types of dental implants available
- which differ in terms of:
 - materials used: commercially pure titanium, Ti alloy, zirconia
 - shape: parallel, tapered, scalloped
 - diameter, degree of taper
 - length
 - abutment connections: internal, external, hex, tri-channel, octagon, morse taper
 - surface characteristics: thread design, micro & nanotopography and surface coatings

Historical development of implants

- use of xenograft and alloplastic materials to replace missing teeth dates back centuries
- Etruscan artefacts (7-800BC), animal bones carved into tooth shapes, ligatured to adjacent teeth with gold wire
- Mayan mandible (600AD), tooth shaped pieces of shell implanted into alveolus, x-rays show bone formation and therefore placed ante-mortem

Historical development of implants

- **Subperiosteal frame implants** (1940s), from impression of the ridge and cast metal frameworks
- Blade implants by Linkow in 1960s, later early screw form



SUBPERIOSTEAL IMPLANT











- Per-Ingvar Brånemark is credited as being the father of modern dental implantology
- 1965, Brånemark placed the first dental implant into a human volunteer, Gösta Larsson
- 1969, 1972 publication of short and long-term clinical data
- replica study by Zarb and the Toronto osseointegration conference of 1982

Design features of a dental implant

- 1. basic shape: parallel vs tapered
- 2. length
- 3. diameter
- 4. implant collar: polished vs micro-threaded
- 5. screw thread
- 6. implant-abutment connection
- 7. abutments
- 8. implant surface topography



Nobel Biocare implants

- Brånemark
- Nobel Replace Tapered
 - Replace Select
 - Replace Groovy
- Nobel Replace Straight
- Nobel Replace Conical Connection
- Nobel Parallel CC
- Nobel Active
- Nobel Speedy
- Zygoma

Nobel Biocare implants

"Nobel Replace Conical "Connection"

1. Basic shape

Parallel

- Brånemark
- Speedy
- Parallel CC



1. Basic shape

Tapered

- Nobel Replace
- Nobel Replace CC
- Nobel Active



different drilling protocols

2. Length

- Replace CC
- 8, 10, 11.5, 13, 16mm
- many variations between systems
 - implants from 6mm to 52mm!



2. Length



2. Length



3. Diameter

- narrow platform pink
- regular platform yellow
- wide platform
- 6.0 implant
- blue green

3.5mm 4.3mm 5.0mm 6.0mm





3. Diameter

Nobel Replace Conical Connection

- narrow platform pink
- regular platform yellow
- regular platform blue

3.5mm 4.3mm 5.0mm

4. Collar





Polished

- no continuation of the microtopography
- useful if we do not wish to place the implant flush with the bone
- kinder to the soft tissues?
- in/out of fashion





Threaded

- collar engages
 cortical plate and
 generates insertion
 torque
- continuation of the microtopography up to the top of the implant
- said to retain bone in this region



Groovy and TiUnite on the collar.

4. Collar



4. Collar/Platform shifting



4. Collar/Platform shifting



4. Collar/Platform shifting





- conventional screw thread or basic "corkscrew" design along the outside of the implant
- different designs have different length threads
- threads cut their own path through the bone
- aid in primary stability and help to generate insertion torque



5. Screw thread

- "Replace Tapered <u>Groovy</u> implants" have a secondary thread design feature
- threads have an arrangement of macroscopic grooves -Nobel Biocare call this feature "groovy"
- said to increase surface area and boneto-implant contact
- said to improve primary stability



method of fixing the abutment to the implant

- internal connection
- external connection



Internal connection

- the abutment fits <u>inside</u> the implant
- anti-rotation feature within the implant (female)
- anti-rotation feature allows specific spacial orientation of abutment on the implant & stops the abutment rotating
- tri-channel, hex, octagon, internal/morse taper

internal tri-channel


6. Implant-abutment connection

internal tri-channel









conical connection

Restorative flexibility Dual-function conical connection.

Internal conical connection With hexagonal interlocking at the base of the connection to provide secure positioning of individual abutments.

Indication for soft to medium bone.

NobelActive™



Internal conical connection With hexagonal interlocking.

6. Implant-abutment connection

External connection

- abutment connects over the <u>outside</u> of the implant
- anti-rotation feature on the implant (male)
- hex, octagon

6. Implant-abutment connection





7. Abutment

- method of attaching the prosthetic crown to the implant
- anti-rotation feature (male or female)
- may be a separate unit or an integral abutment-crown
 - screw-retained abutment/crown
 - screw-retained abutment and separate cementretained crown
 - hybrid

Cement-retained

Separate abutment and crown













• one piece connection/crown







• Cement and screw-retained







7. Abutment

- preformed titanium
 - Esthetic
 - Snappy
- customised
 - cast gold "UCLA"
 - cad-cam: Procera (Nobel), Atlantis (Astra), Isus
 - titanium
 - zirconia
 - cobalt-chrome (ISUS-Dentsply)







Abutment for cement-retained crown



Custom abutment

Stock abutmemt

Abutment for screw-retained crown





Custom abutment

Stock abutmemt









Closed tray impression coping







- Syringe material as per normal crown impression
 - Impregum Penta (not soft)
 - Silicones?

Open tray impression coping





Healing abutment




Cover screw



- surface is the interface between the bone and the titanium – titanium oxide/dioxide
- the surface characteristics of dental implants have been widely reported to influence the bone formation process
- specifically, the roughness of the implant surface has been shown to affect osteoblast activity
- huge financial investment by implant companies in developing implant surfaces

Types of implant surface

- original Brånemark implants had machined surfaces
 - basically formed in a lathe
 - machining grooves visible on the surface
 - smooth surface



Courtesy of Dr R Sammons

Types of implant surface

- various techniques have been employed by manufacturers to modify the implant surface
- attempt to improve both the surface area and the roughness of the titanium, in a belief that such modifications could improve the bone-to-implant contact
- these techniques have included mechanical or chemical changes, or a combination of both, and can be categorised as adding or removing material to or from the implant surface

Additions to the surface

<u>t</u>itanium <u>p</u>lasma <u>s</u>praying

- titanium particles are projected onto the implant surface via a plasma torch at high temperature and pressure
- on contact with the implant surface, the titanium particles fuse together in a film
- very rough surface
- TPS Dentsply/Friadent



Le Guéhennec et al., 2007

Additions to the surface

- titanium plasma spraying
- hydroxyapetite coatings
 - HA occurs as part of the natural inorganic bone matrix
 - calcium phosphate/HA coated onto implant surfaces to characterise the surface with an osteoconductive layer
 - applied to the implant by plasma spraying or sol-gel coating
 - concerns exist within the profession that HA-coated implants have higher failure rates and that the HA undergoes resorption and separation from the titanium
 - macrophage-induced resorption, initiated as a response to loosened HA-particles

• grit-blasting

- abrasive particles are forced onto the titanium surface using compressed air
- the effect on the surface can be modified by varying the size and type of particles used
- alumina (AI_2O_3) , titanium oxide (TiO_2) and calcium phosphates
- concerns have been expressed that grit particles can become embedded into the titanium surface, could adversely affect the osseointegration process ? corrosion
- TiOBlast Astra



Le Guéhennec et al., 2007

- grit-blasting
- acid-etching
 - precise effect will depend on the concentration of acid used and on the length of exposure
 - sulphuric, nitric, hydrofluoric and hydrochloric
 - etching process produces "micropits" with a 0.5 to 2µm diameter
 - several techniques have been described, including single and dual etching at various temperatures
 - Osseotite 3i

- grit-blasting
- acid-etching

combined grit-blasting and acid-etching

- craters of 30 to 40 μm diameter with a superimposed pitting of 1 to 4 μm
- examples:
 - SLA Straumann
 - **DPS & Plus** Dentsply Friadent
 - Osseospeed Astra (HF etched fluoride enhanced surface)



Courtesy of Dr R Sammons

- grit-blasting
- acid-etching
- combined grit-blasting and acid-etching
- anodised
 - oxidation process which thickens the oxide layer on the implant surface
 - strong acids used and the oxide layer is thickened in some regions and dissolved in others, depending on the convection of the current
 - produces micro- and nano-pores on the titanium resulting in a surface covered by hollow tubes

- grit-blasting
- acid-etching
- combined grit-blasting and acid-etching
- anodised
 - tubules are commonly 3.5 to 6µm in height, unevenly spaced and with an internal diameter of 1-3µm
 - Ti-Unite Nobel Biocare



Courtesy of Nobel Biocare



Courtesy of Dr R Sammons

Implant surfaces – the future?

- nanocrystalline (10⁻⁹m) deposition of HA
 - Nanotite 3i Biomet
- coatings with RGD-type peptides (arginine-glycineaspartate amino acid sequence) to induce osteoblasts
- inclusion of genetically modified vectors (viruses) to induce local bone-forming effects

The evidence-base

- no strong evidence that the material, shape, size, length width or surface characteristics affects the success rates
- no evidence to promote the superiority of any particular type of implant or implant system over another

The evidence-base

- experimental studies on titanium favour the moderately roughened surfaces – osteoblast gene expression
 - combination grit-blasted and acid-etched
 - anodised
- 3D micro- and nano-topography more important than roughness per se

- clinical studies: weak evidence that rougher surfaces have lower failure rates than smooth surfaces
- very rough surfaces higher incidence of peri-implantitis
- the quality of the evidence-base is relatively poor

http://www.cochranelibrary.com